

Beta Function, C–Theorem and WDVV Equations in 4D N=2 SYM

GAETANO BERTOLDI AND MARCO MATONE

Department of Physics “G. Galilei” and INFN, Padova, Italy

bertoldi@padova.infn.it matone@padova.infn.it

ABSTRACT

We show that the exact *beta*–function of 4D $N = 2$ SYM plays the role of the metric whose inverse satisfies the WDVV–like equations $\mathcal{F}_{ikl}\beta^{lm}\mathcal{F}_{mnj} = \mathcal{F}_{jkl}\beta^{lm}\mathcal{F}_{mni}$. The conjecture that the WDVV–like equations are equivalent to the identity involving the u –modulus and the prepotential $\mathcal{F}$, seen as a superconformal anomaly, sheds light on the recently considered c–theorem for the $N = 2$ SYM field theories.

The Seiberg–Witten results about $N = 2$ SUSY Yang–Mills [1] have been recently re-derived, in the $SU(2)$ case, in [2]. The approach, based on uniformization theory, uses reflection symmetry of quantum vacua, asymptotics analysis and the identity [3]

$$u = \pi i(\mathcal{F} - a\partial_a \mathcal{F}/2). \quad (1)$$

This identity, first checked up to two–instanton in [4], has been proved to any order in the instanton expansion in [5]. Furthermore, Eq.(1) has been obtained as an anomalous superconformal Ward identity in [6].

As a consequence of the Seiberg–Witten results, it has been possible to derive the explicit expression for the *beta*–function [7][8][9][10] which has been recently reconsidered in [11][12][13].

The above results suggested looking for the analogue of the Zamolodchikov *c*–theorem [14] in the framework of $4D$ $N=2$ SYM. In particular, very recently, it has been shown in [15] that the results in [12] can be understood from the *c*–theorem point of view (see [16] for related aspects). Furthermore, it has been shown that for the $SU(n)$ case there is a Lyapunov function which is naturally determined and related to the *classical* discriminant of the Seiberg–Witten curve. It has been also observed that the *c*–theorem point of view actually fits with the fact that, according to [6], Eq.(1) means that u is proportional to the (super)conformal anomaly.

In this paper, we first shortly consider the role of the *beta*–function in the framework of the WDVV–like equations which have been introduced in [9] for the $SU(3)$ case. While for $SU(3)$ the *beta*–function satisfies a basic equation, derived from the reduced Picard–Fuchs equations, the identification of the inverse of the *beta*–function with the WDVV metric results in the identity

$$\mathcal{F}_{ikl}\beta^{lm}\mathcal{F}_{mnj} = \mathcal{F}_{jkl}\beta^{lm}\mathcal{F}_{mni}. \quad (2)$$

This naturally suggests considering this equation for the groups $SU(n)$ $n > 3$. It turns out that in this case (2) is no longer an identity and actually is a consequence of the WDVV–like equations derived in [17] (see [18] for related aspects).

The appearance of the *beta*–function in (2), and the way we derive it, suggests considering it as related to the superconformal anomaly. This would be in agreement with the general setting considered in [15] and the results in [3][6][19]. A consequence of this identification is the natural conjecture that the WDVV–like equations (2) be equivalent to the higher rank

version of the identity (1), namely [19]

$$u = \frac{i}{4\pi b_1} \left(\mathcal{F} - \sum_i \frac{a^i}{2} a_i^D \right), \quad (3)$$

that satisfies the equation [9]

$$\mathcal{L}_\beta u = u, \quad (4)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\beta$ is a second-order modular invariant operator.

Let us start by recalling the derivation of the WDVV-like equations for the $SU(3)$ case whose curve and its extension to $SU(n)$ has been derived in [20]. Let us denote by $a^i = \langle \phi^i \rangle$ and $a_i^D = \langle \phi_i^D \rangle = \partial \mathcal{F} / \partial a^i$, $i = 1, 2$, the vev's of the scalar component of the chiral superfield and its dual. The effective couplings are given by $\tau_{ij} = \partial^2 \mathcal{F} / \partial a^i \partial a^j$. We also set $u^2 \equiv u = \langle \text{tr } \phi^2 \rangle$, $u^3 \equiv v = \langle \text{tr } \phi^3 \rangle$ and $\partial_k \equiv \partial / \partial a^k$, $\partial_\alpha \equiv \partial / \partial u^\alpha$. The reduced Picard–Fuchs equations (RPFE's) for $SU(3)$ are [21]

$$\mathcal{L}_\beta \begin{pmatrix} a_i^D \\ a^i \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad \beta = 2, 3, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = (P/u) \partial_u^2 + \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L}_3 = (P/3) \partial_v^2 + \mathcal{L}, \quad (6)$$

and $P = 27(v^2 - \Lambda^6) + 4u^3$, $\mathcal{L} = 12uv \partial_u \partial_v + 3v \partial_v + 1$.

Let us set

$$\mathcal{U} = u_2^2 \partial_{11} - 2u_1 u_2 \partial_{12} + u_1^2 \partial_{22}, \quad \mathcal{V} = v_2^2 \partial_{11} - 2v_1 v_2 \partial_{12} + v_1^2 \partial_{22},$$

$$\mathcal{C} = (u_1 v_2 + v_1 u_2) \partial_{12} - u_2 v_2 \partial_{11} - u_1 v_1 \partial_{22},$$

and $D = u_1 v_2 - u_2 v_1$, where $\partial_{i_1 \dots i_n} \equiv \partial^n / \partial a^{i_1} \dots \partial a^{i_n}$, $u_i \equiv \partial_i u$ and $v_i \equiv \partial_i v$. We have

$$\left[12uv \mathcal{C} + \frac{1}{3} P \mathcal{U} + D^2 (1 - a^i \partial_i) \right] \mathcal{F}_l = 0 = \left[12uv \mathcal{C} + \frac{1}{u} P \mathcal{V} + D^2 (1 - a^i \partial_i) \right] \mathcal{F}_l, \quad (7)$$

where $l = 1, 2$ and $\mathcal{F}_{i_1 \dots i_n} \equiv \partial_{i_1 \dots i_n} \mathcal{F}$. Subtracting the LHS from the RHS of Eqs.(7), we obtain

$$A_l \equiv x_{11} \mathcal{F}_{22l} + x_{22} \mathcal{F}_{11l} - 2x_{12} \mathcal{F}_{12l} = 0, \quad (8)$$

where $l = 1, 2$ and

$$x_{ij} = 3v_i v_j - u u_i u_j. \quad (9)$$

Next, considering

$$A_1 (y_{22} \mathcal{F}_{112} - 2y_{12} \mathcal{F}_{122} + y_{11} \mathcal{F}_{222}) - A_2 (-2y_{12} \mathcal{F}_{112} + y_{11} \mathcal{F}_{122} + y_{22} \mathcal{F}_{111}) = 0,$$

where y_{jk} are arbitrary parameters, we get

$$\mathcal{F}_{ikl}\eta^{lm}\mathcal{F}_{mnj} = \mathcal{F}_{jkl}\eta^{lm}\mathcal{F}_{mni}, \quad (10)$$

for $i, j, k, n = 1, 2$, where

$$\eta^{lm} = \begin{pmatrix} 2x_{22}y_{12} - 2x_{12}y_{22} & x_{11}y_{22} - x_{22}y_{11} \\ x_{11}y_{22} - x_{22}y_{11} & 2x_{12}y_{11} - 2x_{11}y_{12} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

For any choice of the parameters y_{jk} , there is only one nontrivial equation in (10) which can be rewritten in the form

$$\eta^{11}\Theta_{11} + 2\eta^{12}\Theta_{12} + \eta^{22}\Theta_{22} = 0, \quad (12)$$

where $\Theta_{ij} = (\mathcal{F}_{11i}\mathcal{F}_{22j} + \mathcal{F}_{11j}\mathcal{F}_{22i})/2 - \mathcal{F}_{12i}\mathcal{F}_{12j}$, which satisfies the identity

$$2\mathcal{F}_{12l}\Theta_{12} = \mathcal{F}_{22l}\Theta_{11} + \mathcal{F}_{11l}\Theta_{22}, \quad l = 1, 2. \quad (13)$$

The fact that τ_{ij} is dimensionless implies that

$$(\Lambda\partial_\Lambda + \Delta_{u^\gamma})\tau_{ij} = 0, \quad (14)$$

where

$$\Delta_{u^\gamma} = \sum_{\gamma=2}^n \gamma u^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\gamma}, \quad (15)$$

is the scaling invariant vector field.

Let us consider the *beta*-function

$$\beta_{ij} = \Lambda \frac{\partial \tau_{ij}}{\partial \Lambda} \Big|_{u^\alpha, u^\gamma, \dots}. \quad (16)$$

By (14) we have for $SU(3)$

$$\beta_{ij} = - \left(2u \frac{\partial a^k}{\partial u} + 3v \frac{\partial a^k}{\partial v} \right) \mathcal{F}_{ijk}. \quad (17)$$

Let us denote by β^{ij} the inverse of the matrix β_{ij} . Setting

$$\eta^{ij} = \beta^{ij}, \quad (18)$$

implies the equation

$$x_{22}\beta^{22} + x_{11}\beta^{11} + 2x_{12}\beta^{12} = 0. \quad (19)$$

This equation, which arises as a the consistency condition, is equivalent to $A_1 = 0 = A_2$ and

$$\mathcal{F}_{ikl}\beta^{lm}\mathcal{F}_{mnj} = \mathcal{F}_{jkl}\beta^{lm}\mathcal{F}_{mni}, \quad (20)$$

for $i, j, k, n = 1, 2$, is an identity.

We now consider the β -function for $SU(n)$ with $n \geq 4$. We have

$$\beta_{ij} = -\Delta^k \mathcal{F}_{kij}, \quad (21)$$

where

$$\Delta^k = \Delta_{u^\gamma} a^k = \sum_{\gamma=2}^n \gamma u^\gamma \frac{\partial a^k}{\partial u^\gamma}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (22)$$

Let us set

$$F_i = \mathcal{F}_{ijk}. \quad (23)$$

For the inverse of the beta matrix function β_{ij} we have

$$\beta^{ij} = -(\Delta^k F_k)_{ij}^{-1}, \quad (24)$$

We now show that Eq.(20) holds also for $SU(n)$ $n > 3$ (and is no longer an identity). In particular, Eq.(20) can be derived by the WDVV equations in [17]. These have the form

$$F_i F_k^{-1} F_j = F_j F_k^{-1} F_i. \quad (25)$$

These equations have the property of being invariant if

$$F_k^{-1} \longrightarrow \beta^{-1} = -(\Delta^k F_k)^{-1}. \quad (26)$$

To see this, we simply observe that by (25) one has $F_i^{-1} F_k F_j^{-1} = F_j^{-1} F_k F_i^{-1}$, so that $F_i^{-1} \beta F_j^{-1} = F_j^{-1} \beta F_i^{-1}$, implying

$$F_i \beta^{-1} F_j = F_j \beta^{-1} F_i, \quad (27)$$

that is

$$\mathcal{F}_{ikl}\beta^{lm}\mathcal{F}_{mnj} = \mathcal{F}_{jkl}\beta^{lm}\mathcal{F}_{mni}. \quad (28)$$

Acknowledgements. It is a pleasure to thank G. Bonelli, J. Isidro and M. Tonin for discussions. MM was supported in part by the European Commission TMR programme ERBFMRX-CT96-0045.

References

- [1] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B426** (1994) 19; Nucl. Phys. **B431** (1994) 484.
- [2] G. Bonelli, M. Matone and M. Tonin, Phys. Rev. **D55** (1997) 6466.
- [3] M. Matone, Phys. Lett. **B357** (1995) 342; Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996) 7354.
- [4] F. Fucito and G. Travaglini, Phys. Rev. **D55** (1997) 1099.
- [5] N. Dorey, V.V. Khoze and M.P. Mattis, Phys. Lett. **B390** (1997) 205.
- [6] P.S. Howe and P.C. West, Nucl. Phys. **B486** (1996) 425.
- [7] J.A. Minahan and D. Nemechansky, Nucl. Phys. **B468** (1996) 72.
- [8] G. Bonelli and M. Matone, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76** (1996) 4107.
- [9] G. Bonelli and M. Matone, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** (1996) 4712.
- [10] E. D'Hoker, I.M. Krichever and D.H. Phong, Nucl. Phys. **B494** (1997) 89; *ibid.* **B489** (1997) 211; E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, Phys. Lett. **B397** (1997) 94.
- [11] A. Ritz, hep-th/9710112.
- [12] B.P. Dolan, hep-th/9710161.
- [13] J.I. Latorre and C.A. Lütken, hep-th/9711150.
- [14] A.B. Zamolodchikov, JETP Lett. **43** (1986) 730.
- [15] G. Bonelli and M. Matone, hep-th/9712025.
- [16] J.L. Cardy, Phys. Lett. **B215** (1988) 749; H. Osborn, Phys. Lett. **B222** (1989) 97; A. Cappelli, D. Friedan and J.I. Latorre, Nucl. Phys. **B352** (1991) 616; D. Anselmi, D.Z. Freedman, M.T. Grisaru and A.A. Johansen, hep-th/9708042; D. Anselmi, J. Erlich, D.Z. Freedman and A.A. Johansen, hep-th/9711035; H. Osborn and J.I. Latorre, hep-th/9703196; F. Bastianelli, Phys. Lett. **B369** (1996) 249.
- [17] A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Phys. Lett. **B389** (1996) 43.

- [18] R. Carroll, Phys. Lett. **A234** (1997) 171; hep-th/9712110; J. Chang and R. Carroll, solv-int/9612010.
- [19] J. Sonnenschein, S. Theisen and S. Yankielowicz, Phys. Lett. **B367** (1996) 145.
T. Eguchi and S.-K. Yang, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A11** (1996) 131.
- [20] A. Klemm, W. Lerche, S. Theisen and S. Yankielowicz, Phys. Lett. **B344** (1995) 169.
P. Argyres and A.E. Faraggi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74** (1995) 3931.
- [21] A. Klemm, W. Lerche and S. Theisen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A11**, 1929 (1996).